Appendix 2

Initial Assessment of Budget Related Equality Impact Assessments

| Directorate | Service | Function being assessed | RA/EIA ** | Responsible Officer | Summary |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--------------|------------------------|--|
| Growth and Development | Investment Estate | Revise surveyors fee scales | RA | David Lord | Surveyor fees are charged based on time, complexity and skill, and are applied in all cases where fees are recoverable from third parties. No relevance to equality has been identified. |
| Growth and Development | Investment Estate | Maximise income generation from assets | RA | David Lord | The service identifies several characteristics (disability, faith, gender identity, race, sex, sexual orientation, marriage / civil partnership) as relevant to the proposal but not directly impacted by it. The service's policies and procurement processes safeguard against disadvantageous outcomes for resident groups. |
| Growth and Development | Investment Estate | Increase ground rent – long leasehold | RA | David Lord | The service has identified at this stage that an EIA will be required against this proposal, however this has not been commenced as it is too early in the process. The service has committed to do this at the appropriate point in the scheme. |
| Neighbourhoods | Compliance and Community Safety | Externally contract the Animal Welfare Service | RA | Fiona Sharkey | No equality relevance identified so not progressing to EIA. RA described how animal welfare and associated issues will continue through outsourcing arrangements. |

| Neighbourhoods | Compliance and Community Safety | Salary savings though increased part time working | RA | Alex Hill / Sarah Duckett | Service assesses this as a voluntary scheme with no mandate for employees to reduce hours. The scheme is open to all regardless of their characteristics. As such, the service identifies no adverse impact, but notes a potential positive impact for some who might find a reduction in hours helpful for personal reasons linked to their characteristics (i.e. people with caring responsibilities). Any uptake of the offer is predicted to be small and have minimal disruption for resident groups. |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|-----|------------------------------|---|
| Neighbourhoods | Highways | Reduction in accident trip claims | RA | Steve Robinson | One RA completed to assess all Highways proposals, found no impact on equality groups or duties as these are all re/charges |
| Neighbourhoods | Highways | Increased income from permits and other rechargeable works | RA | Steve Robinson | that do not relate specifically to one or more identity groups. |
| Neighbourhoods | Highways | Increase charges to capital for eligible works | RA | Steve Robinson | |
| Core | HROD | Time Limited Efficiency Early Release Scheme (VER / VS) | EIA | Shawnna Gleeson | The EIA finds that whilst the implementation of the scheme will vary the diversity of the Council's workforce, the scheme itself does not adversely impact on a given characteristic as it is open to all staff who are in scope (based on their service area, not their characteristics) and staff are neither brought into or exempt from the scheme on |

| | | | | | equality grounds. The outcomes of the scheme will be assessed upon its completion and the resulting composition of the workforce considered to inform future strategic action. |
|------|-------------------------|---|----|---------------------|---|
| Core | Financial Management | Reduce staff resources – 20 FTEs including 7 vacancies | RA | Janice Gotts | RA indicates that reductions will be managed through the VER/VS scheme in the main which is separately impact assessed. It describes how as a Council support function, reductions to the service will not impact directly on identity groups in Manchester. |
| Core | Communications | Reduce staff resources – 4 FTEs | RA | Alun Ireland | The assessment shows that as a support function to the Council, the reductions, spread across several teams, will have minimal impact on residents. The reduction will be managed through vacancy removal and utilising the VER/VS scheme which is separately assessed. |
| Core | Communications | Reduce printing costs, increase recharges and postage | RA | Alun Ireland | The relevance assessment shows that the process of recovering charges and offsetting costs will not impact adversely on resident groups. |
| Core | City Policy | Reduce staff resources by 4 FTEs and reduce Special Projects budget | RA | David Houlliston | The RA notes that staff reductions will be managed through the VS/VER scheme which is separately impact assessed. It describes how staffing reductions will impact on the ability to support work such as the zero- carbon agenda and Corporate Plan, but does not find a direct impact on equality work or cuts to services delivered directly to identity groups. |

| Core | Performance, Research and Intelligence | Reduce staff resources by 13 FTEs | RA | Paul Holme | The RA describes how reductions in staffing, managed through VER/VS in the main, will impact on the data and intelligence support available to front line services, but will not directly result in a reduced service to residents. The reductions therefore do not highlight an impact on equality. |
|---------------------------|--|--|-----|---------------|---|
| Core | Reform and Innovation | Reduce staff resources by 3 FTEs | RA | Sarah Broad | The staffing reductions assessed will be managed through the VER/VS scheme, separately impact assessed. As a support function to the Council, the staffing reductions here will have no direct impact on resident groups. |
| Core | Equality, Diversity and Inclusion | Reduce staff resources by 1 FTE and reduce Equality Initiatives budget | EIA | Keiran Barnes | The EIA describes how staff reductions will impact on the capacity of the service, but that it will retain its role to address equality for all characteristics and arms of the Duty. As a Council support function, this will not significantly impact directly on Manchester resident groups. Staff reduction are to be managed through the VS/VER scheme which is separately impact assessed. The reduction to the Equality Initiatives budget will be mitigated through a re-prioritisation of how the budget is used to align it more closely with the Council's current equality priorities, causing minimal disruption. |
| Children and Education | Children's Services | Early Years – core offer | EIA | Julie Heslop | The assessment found that children and young people, as the target cohort for this service offer, were the only characteristic likely to be disproportionately affected by it. Despite being disproportionately relevant, the |

| Children and Education | Education | Senior Schools Quality Assurance – charge to Schools Improvement Board | EIA | Amanda Corcoran | assessment describes a range of measures and activities that not only prevent adverse impact, but which safeguard positive outcomes. The assessment identifies particular relevance for children and young people, disability, race, and sex. In all cases, the assessment provides mitigation to avoid the risk of poorer educational outcomes for all relevant groups and poorer OFTSED findings for schools. |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-----|--------------------------------------|---|
| Children and Education | Children's Services | Leaving Care – 50 Registered Provider placements and improved transition to timely independence | EIA | Abu Siddique / Sean KcKendrick | The assessment identified impact for the characteristics of young people and homelessness. The proposal to reduce the number of over 18s in supported accommodation risks an increase in homelessness where support is withdrawn too soon. Measures are put forward as mitigation to minimise this risk (i.e. capability assessments). |
| Children and Education | Children's Services | Unaccompanied asylum seeking children | EIA | Abu Siddique / Sean McKendrick | The proposal extends the availability of good quality accommodation for unaccompanied asylum seeking children and the assessment recognise the positive impact on this characteristic. |
| Homelessness | Integrated Commissioning | Commissioned Services Budget | EIA | Nicola Rea | The EIA assesses that approval of the budget funding source will allow opportunity to review pathways to service, leading to a positive impacts on age (older people), disability, gender identity, race and sex due to the availability of more targeted services to meet the particular needs of people |

| | | identifying with these characteristics. No |
|--|--|--|
| | | adverse impacts identified. |

** Relevance Assessment / EIA